

Dualities of Culture and Structure

Ronald Breiger
University of Arizona

Just three decades ago, Peter Blau declared that “social structure is not culture.” Moreover, Blau averred, the study of the quantitative dimensions of social structure, which constitute its core and “distinguish it from culture,” had long been neglected, “two exceptions being Harrison C. White and Bruce H. Mayhew” (Blau 1977, p. 245). Indeed, the oft-proclaimed “breakthrough” in the 1970s that “firmly established” network analysis as a method of structural analysis (Scott 2000, pp. 33-37) defined itself in opposition to culture. White, Boorman, and Breiger (1976) seemed to take pride in announcing that “the cultural and social-psychological meanings of actual ties are largely bypassed.... We focus instead on interpreting the patterns among types of tie” (p. 734).

Some sort of explanation is therefore required to understand how and why, today, social network researchers can say that social networks and “all social structures are inherently cultural in that they are based on meaning” (Fuhse 2007), how it could be that Harrison White and Frédéric Godart write now (2007) that “the complexity and dynamics of culture are intertwined with the dynamics and complexity of structure, as reflected in forms of discourse.”

I would like to provide an explanation for this important cultural turn in structural analysis, but I’m not sure I can. My reading of Fuchs (2008) suggests that a reductionist stance toward cultures “below”—or the fabrication of a culture positing that culture doesn’t matter—could have been a posture, or a projective effort to seize a high-status position for social-network analysis.¹ Pachucki and Breiger (in preparation) put forward a half-dozen candidate reasons as to why the boundary² between structure and culture began to be spanned, among which are

- Critiques by cultural sociologists pointing to what network analysis was missing (e.g., Fine and Kleinman 1983, Brint 1992, and most influentially, Emirbayer and Goodwin, 1994);
- Anomalies arising within network analysis forcing investigators to consider cognition within networks (see the “reverse small world problem” reviewed in Marsden, 2005; studies of recall, Brewer 2000; Carley’s 1986 “constructuralist” model and subsequent developments);

¹ There is an interesting comparison to be made here between the culture-denying structuralism of Chomsky and the event-ful structuralism of Jakobson (e.g., Waugh and Monville-Burston, 1990).

² In this context the ideas of Athanasios Karafillidis (2008) are indispensable: there is a duality between networks and boundaries.

- Harrison White's rethinking of network theory in *Identity and Control* (1992; second ed., 2008), White now writing of agency as "the dynamic face of networks," that "stories describe the ties in networks," and that "a social network is a network of meanings" (1992, pp. 65, 67, 245, 315), and the synergy of White's theorizing with that of others (e.g., Somers, 1994, 1998; Fuchs, 2001; Eliasoph and Lichterman, 2002, Martin, 2003; Collins, 2003, 2004).
- Realization that the most iconic settings within which social network data is collected (e.g., self-reports on who one's friends are) are essentially discursive and, hence, in essence cultural products that should be analyzed with reference to constructions of meaning (Mische, 2003, 2007). In particular, disputes have careers that entangle actors within simultaneously emergent logics of identity (Muetzel, 2002, esp. pp. 270-74).

But these candidate reasons to explain a shift toward culture themselves seem largely descriptive. And in any case, White and Godart (2007) reject conceiving of the relation between structure and culture as "interdependent yet autonomous," preferring instead to view both "structure" and "culture," "social networks" and "discursive forms," as second-order processes which need to be accounted for by the dynamics of identity and control among network domains (pp. 2, 17). In my reading (which might differ from the intention of the authors), the concept of duality³ is central to White and Godart's portrayal of this dynamic. For example, it allows them to say (p. 9) that a plot decouples events in one role frame from events in other frames, even as events serve to decouple plots.

Abbott (1992) is adept at seeing the usual varieties of multiple regression analysis in sociology as forms of culture; for example, "it is when a variable 'does something' narratively that the authors think themselves to be speaking most directly of causality" (p. 57). A focus on a network of variables, as in multiple regression analysis, detaches relationships from their concrete embedding in social structure (White and Breiger, 1975). Abbott envisions relational sociology as "transcending general linear reality" (Abbott 1988). I argue, in contrast, that there is a fascinating, yet-to-be explored relational sociology that is, strictly speaking, dual to this multiple-regression analysis and implied by it (see also Breiger, 2009, for similar issues pursued via a different set of methods applied to problems in the analysis of small-N comparisons).

REFERENCES

Abbott, Andrew (1988). "Transcending General Linear Reality" *Sociological Theory* 6: 169-186.

³ I think duality is a central concept appearing and evolving in White's work (see Breiger 2005, p. 885).

Abbott, Andrew (1992). "What Do Cases Do? Some Notes on Activity in Sociological Analysis." Pp. 53-82 in Charles C. Ragin and Howard S. Becker (eds.), What Is a Case? Exploring the Foundations of Social Inquiry. Cambridge University Press.

Blau, Peter M. (1977). Inequality and Heterogeneity: A Primitive Theory of Social Structure. New York: Free Press.

Breiger, R.L. (2005). "White, Harrison." Encyclopedia of Social Theory, ed. George Ritzer. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. Vol 2: 884-886.

Breiger, R.L. (2009). "The Duality of Cases and Variables." In David Byrne and Charles Ragin (eds.), Handbook of Case-Based Methods. London: Sage, in press.

Brewer, Devon D. (2000). "Forgetting in the Recall-Based Elicitation of Personal Networks." Social Networks **22**: 29-43.

Brint, Steven (1992). "Hidden Meanings: Cultural Content and Context in Harrison White's Structural Sociology." Sociological Theory **10**: 194-208.

Carley, Kathleen M. 1986. "An Approach for Relating Social Structure to Cognitive Structure." Journal of Mathematical Sociology **12**: 137-189.

Collins, Randall (2003). "A Network-Location Theory of Culture." Sociological Theory **21**: 69-73.

Collins, Randall (2004). Interaction Ritual Chains. Princeton University Press.

Eliasoph, Nina, and Paul Lichterman (2002). "Culture in Interaction." American Journal of Sociology **108**: 735-794.

Emirbayer, Mustafa, and Jeff Goodwin (1994). "Network Analysis, Culture, and the Problem of Agency." American Journal of Sociology **99**: 1411-1454.

Fine, Gary A., and Sherryl Kleinman (1983). "Network and Meaning: An Interactionist Approach to Structure." Symbolic Interaction **6**: 97-110.

Fuchs, Stephan (2001). Against Essentialism: A Theory of Culture and Society. Harvard University Press.

Fuchs, Stephan (2008). "The Behavior of Cultural Networks." Exposé of presentation at the International Symposium, Relational Sociology: Transatlantic Impulses for the Social Sciences (Berlin, 25/26 September).

Fuhse, Jan A. (2007). "The Symbolic Structure of Social Networks." Columbia University: ISERP (July, Version 2.0)

Karafillidis, Athanasios (2008). "Networks and Boundaries." Exposé of presentation at the International Symposium, Relational Sociology: Transatlantic Impulses for the Social Sciences (Berlin, 25/26 September).

Marsden, Peter V. (2005). "Recent Developments in Network Measurement." In Peter J. Carrington, John Scott, and Stanley Wasserman (eds.), Models and Methods in Social Network Analysis. Cambridge University Press: 8-30.

Martin, John Levi (2003). "What is Field Theory?" American Journal of Sociology **109**: 1-49.

Mische, Ann (2003). "Cross-Talk in Movements: Rethinking the Culture-Network Link." Pp. 258-280 in Mario Diani and Doug McAdam (eds.), Social Movements and Networks: Relational Approaches to Collective Action. Oxford University Press.

Mische, Ann (2007). Partisan Publics: Communication and Contention across Brazilian Youth Networks. Princeton University Press.

Muetzel, Sophie (2002). Making Meaning of the Move of the German Capital: Networks, Logics, and the Emergence of Capital City Journalism. PhD dissertation in Sociology, Columbia University.

Pachucki, Mark, and Ronald Breiger (in preparation). "Cultural Holes: Beyond Relationality in Social Networks and Culture." To appear in Annual Review of Sociology, 2010.

Scott, John (2000). Social Network Analysis. London: Sage.

Somers, Margaret R. (1994). "The Narrative Constitution of Identity: A Relational and Network Approach." Theory and Society **23**: 605-649.

Somers, Margaret R. (1998). "We're No Angels': Realism, Rational Choice, and Relationality in Social Science." American Journal of Sociology **104**: 722-784.

Waugh, Linda R., and Monique Monville-Burston (1990), "Introduction" to Roman Jakobson On Language. Harvard University Press.

White, Harrison C. 1992. Identity and Control: A Structural Theory of Social Action. Princeton University Press.

White, Harrison C. 2008. Identity and Control: How Social Forms Emerge (2nd ed.). Princeton University Press.

White, Harrison C., and Ronald L. Breiger (1975). "Pattern Across Network." Society (July-August): 68-73.

White, Harrison C., Scott A. Boorman, and Ronald L. Breiger (1976). "Social Structure from Multiple Networks: I. Blockmodels of Roles and Positions." American Journal of Sociology **81**: 730-780.

White, Harrison C., and Frédéric C. Godart (2007). "Stories from Identity and Control." Sociologica **3**: 1-17.